

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	07/652,978	02/08/91	BUHR	C:	4610-0027	
				EXAMINER		
	MORRISON & FOERSTER :			STONE, J		
	755 PAGE MI	LL ROAD	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PALO ALTO, CA 94304-10		18	1804		
	1			DATE MAILED:	08708792	
This i	is a communication from th MISSIONER OF PATENT:	ne examiner in charge of t S AND TRADEMARKS	your application.		and the state of t	
					•	
A shor	restriction tened statutory period	The Turpose for response to this a	Responsive to communication filed on  Solution is set pexpiremonth fill cause the application to become abandon	h(s), 30 d	ays from the date of this letter.	
Part I			RE PART OF THIS ACTION:	su. 33 0.3.0. 18		
	_	ces Cited by Examine	·	Patent Drawing, PT	0.048	
3.	Notice of Art Cite	d by Applicant, PTO- pw to Effect Drawing (	1449. 4. Notice of i		olication, Form PTO-152.	
Part I)	SUMMARY OF A	CTION				
1.	Claims 1-	26			are pending in the application.	
	Of the abov					
	_			a/t	e withdrawn from consideration.	
2.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			have been cancelled.	
3.	Claims				are allowed.	
4.	Claims		,	÷	are rejected.	
5.	Claims				are objected to.	
6.	Claims	Claims 1-26 are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
7.	☐ This application ha	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8.	☐ Formal drawings a	are required in respon	se to this Office action.			
9.	☐ The corrected or sare ☐ acceptab	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).				
10.	☐ The proposed add examiner. ☐ dis	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. I disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
11.	☐ The proposed dra	The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation).				
				_		
12.	Acknowledgment	is made of the claim f	or priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified cop	oy has 📙 been re	ceived 🔲 not been received	
12.	• -		or priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copial no; filed on	•	ceived  not been received	
	□ been filed in p □ Since this applicat	parent application, ser	•			

Serial No. 652,978 Art Unit 1804

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 19, 21, 25 and 26 drawn to a modified oligonucleotide, classifiable in Class 536, subclass 27, and pharmaceutical compositions thereof.
- II. Claims 7-18, 20 and 22 drawn to mononucleotides classifiable in Class 536, subclass 29, and pharmaceutical compositions thereof.
- III. Claim 23, drawn to a method of treatment, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claim 24, drawn to a method of treatment, classified in Class 514, subclass 45.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (M.P.E.P. § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (M.P.E.P. § 806.04(h)).

In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a pharmaceutical and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant

Serial No. 652,978 Art Unit 1804

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Inventions I and III and inventions II and IV are related as products and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case each of the products represented in groups I and II as claimed can be used in a materially different process than the process set forth in groups III and IV, such as for preparing proteins shortened at the C terminus, or for the inhibition of gene expression in plants.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and burdens of search in both patent and non-patent literature restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement

Serial No. 652,978 Art Unit 1804

to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Stone at telephone number (703) 308-3153.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 180 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 180 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 308-4227. The commercial telephone number for information about incoming faxes is (703) 308-4744.

Jacqueline Stone
August 4, 1992

JACQUELINE STONE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1804